

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/084,225	ERB ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Ula C Ruddock	1771

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brendan Mee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claims 15 and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Jorder et al. (US 5,534,325) and Blaustein et al. (US 4,750,443)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant has agreed to amend claim 15 by adding the limitations of claim 24, in order to overcome the prior art rejections.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)